

_____	<u>Scope</u> .....	3
Rule 102.	<u>Purpose and Construction</u> .....	3
Rule 105.	<u>Limiting Evidence That Is Not Admissible Against Other Parties</u> <u>or for Other Purposes</u> .....	3
Rule 106.	<u>Remainder of or Related Writings or Recorded Statements</u> .....	3
<b><u>Article II. Judicial Notice</u></b> .....		<b>4</b>
Rule 201.	<u>Judicial Notice of Adjudicative Facts</u> .....	4
<b><u>Article III. Presumptions in Civil Actions and Proceedings -- Not Applicable</u></b> .....		<b>4</b>
<b><u>Article IV. Relevancy and its Limits</u></b> .....		<b>4</b>
Rule 401.	<u>Test for Relevant Evidence</u> .....	4
Rule 402.	<u>General Admissibility of Relevant Evidence</u> .....	4
Rule 403.	<u>Excluding Relevant Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion, Waste of</u> <u>Time, or Other Reasons</u> .....	4
Rule 404.	<u>Character Evidence; Crimes or Other Acts</u> .....	4
Rule 405.	<u>Methods of Proving Character</u> .....	5
Rule 406.	<u>Habit, Routine Practice</u> .....	5
Rule 407.	<u>Subsequent Remedial Measures</u> .....	5
<b><u>Article V. Privileges</u></b> .....		<b>6</b>
Rule 501.	<u>General Rule</u> .....	6
<b><u>Article VI. Witnesses</u></b> .....		<b>7</b>
Rule 601.	<u>General Rule of Competency</u> .....	7
Rule 602.	<u>Need for Personal Knowledge</u> .....	7
Rule 607.	<u>Who May Impeach A Witness</u> .....	7
Rule 608.	<u>A Witness's Character For Truthfulness or Untruthfulness</u> .....	7
Rule 609.	<u>Impeachment by Evidence of a Criminal Conviction</u> .....	7

Rule 610. Religious Beliefs or Opinions ..... 8  
Rule 611. Mode and Order of Interrogation and Presentation ..... 8  
Rule 612. Writing Used to Refresh a Witness’s Memory ..... 9

# **HIGH SCHOOL MOCK TRIAL CHAMPIONSHIP**

## **RULES OF EVIDENCE**

In American trials, complex rules are used to govern the admission of proof (i.e., oral or physical evidence). These rules are designed to ensure that all parties receive a fair hearing and to exclude evidence deemed irrelevant, incompetent, untrustworthy, unduly prejudicial, or otherwise improper. If it appears that a rule of evidence is being violated, an attorney may raise an objection to the judge. The judge then decides whether the rule has been violated and whether the evidence must be excluded from the record of the trial. In the absence of a properly made objection, however, the judge will probably allow the evidence. The burden is on the mock trial team to know the High School Mock Trial Rules of Evidence and to be able to use them to protect their client and fairly limit the actions of opposing counsel and their witnesses.

For purposes of mock trial competition, the Rules of Evidence have been modified and simplified. They are based on the Federal Rules of Evidence and its numbering system. Where rule numbers or letters are skipped, those rules were not deemed applicable to mock trial procedure. Text in italics or underlined represent simplified or modified language.

Not all judges will interpret the Rules of Evidence (or procedure) the same way, and mock trial attorneys should be prepared to point out specific rules (quoting, if necessary) and to argue persuasively for the interpretation and application of the rule they think appropriate.

The Mock Trial Rules of Competition and these High School Mock Trial Rules of Evidence govern the High School Mock Trial Championship.

---

### **Article I. – General Provisions**

Rule 101.     Scope



- (2) Exceptions for a Defendant or Victim in a Criminal Case. The following exceptions apply in a criminal case:
    - (A) a defendant may offer evidence of the defendant’s pertinent trait, and if the evidence is admitted, the prosecutor may offer evidence to rebut it;
    - (B) a defendant may offer evidence of an alleged victim’s pertinent trait, and if the evidence is admitted, the prosecutor may:
      - (i) offer evidence to rebut it; and
      - (ii) offer evidence of the defendant’s same trait; and
    - (C) in a homicide case, the prosecutor may offer evidence of the alleged victim’s trait of peacefulness to rebut evidence that the victim was the first aggressor.
  - (3) Exceptions for a Witness. Evidence of a witness’s character may be admitted under Rules 607, 608, and 609.
- (b) Other Crimes, Wrongs, or Other Acts.
- (1) Prohibited Uses. Evidence of a crime, wrong, or other act is not admissible to prove a person’s character in order to show that on a particular occasion the person acted in accordance with the character.
  - (2) Permitted Uses. This evidence may be admissible for another purpose, such as proving motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, absence of mistake, or lack of accident.

Rule 405. Methods of Proving Character

- (a) **By Reputation or Opinion.** When evidence of a person’s character or character trait is admissible, it may be proved by testimony about the person’s reputation or by testimony in the form of an opinion. On cross-examination of the character witness, the court may allow an inquiry into relevant specific instances of the person’s conduct.
- (b) **By Specific Instances of Conduct.** When a person’s character or character trait is an essential element of a charge, claim, or defense, the character or trait may also be proved by relevant specific instances of the person’s conduct.

Rule 406. Habit, Routine Practice

Evidence of a person’s habit or an organization’s routine practice may be admitted to prove that on a particular occasion the person or organization acted in accordance with the habit or routine practice. The court may admit this evidence regardless of whether it is corroborated or whether there was an eyewitness.

Rule 407. Subsequent Remedial Measures

When measures are taken that would have made an earlier injury or harm less likely to occur, evidence of the subsequent measures is not admissible to prove:

- negligence;
- culpable conduct;
- a defect in a product or its design; or
- a need for a warning or instruction.

But the court may admit this evidence for another purpose, such as impeachment or — if disputed

— proving ownership, control, or the feasibility of precautionary measures.

Rule 408. Compromise Offers and Negotiations

(a) **Prohibited Uses.** Evidence of the following is not admissible — on behalf of any party — either to prove or disprove the validity or amount of a disputed claim or to impeach by a prior inconsistent statement or a contradiction:

- (1) furnishing, promising, or offering — or accepting, promising to accept, or offering to accept

grounds of public policy. Among these are:

- (1) communications between spouses;
- (2) communications between attorney and client;
- (3) communications between medical or mental health care providers and patient.

---

**Article VI. – Witnesses**

Rule 601. General Rule of Competency

Every person is competent to be a witness.

Rule 602. Need for Personal Knowledge

A witness may testify to a matter only if evidence is introduced sufficient to support a finding that the witness has personal knowledge of the matter. Evidence to prove personal knowledge may consist of the witness's own testimony. This rule does not apply to a witness's expert testimony under Rule 703. (See Rule 2.2)

Rule 607. Who May Impeach A Witness

Any party, including the party that called the witness, may attack the witness's credibility.

Rule 608. A Witness's Character For Truthfulness or Untruthfulness

- (a) **Reputation or Opinion Evidence.** A witness's credibility may be attacked or supported by testimony about the witness's reputation for having a character for truthfulness or untruthfulness.

probative value of the evidence outweighs its prejudicial effect to that defendant;  
and

- (2) for any crime regardless of the punishment, the evidence must be admitted if the court can readily determine that establishing the elements of the crime required proving — or the witness’s admitting — a dishonest act or false statement.
- (b) **Limit on Using the Evidence After 10 Years.** This subdivision (b) applies if more than 10 years have passed since the witness’s conviction or release from confinement for it, whichever is later. Evidence of the conviction is admissible only if its probative value, supported by specific facts and circumstances, substantially outweighs its prejudicial effect.
- (c) **Effect of a Pardon, Annulment, or Certificate of Rehabilitation.** Evidence of a conviction is not admissible if:
- (1) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon, annulment, certificate of rehabilitation, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding that the person has been rehabilitated, and the person has not been convicted of a later crime punishable by death or by imprisonment for more than one year; or
  - (2) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon, annulment, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of innocence.
- (d) **Juvenile Adjudications.** Evidence of a juvenile adjudication is admissible under this rule only if:
- (1) it is offered in a criminal case;
  - (2) the adjudication was of a witness other than the defendant;
  - (3) an adult’s conviction for that offense would be admissible to attack the adult’s credibility; and
  - (4) admitting the evidence is necessary to fairly determine guilt or innocence.
- (e) **Pendency of an Appeal.** A conviction that satisfies this rule is admissible even if an appeal is pending. Evidence of the pendency is also admissible.

Rule 610.





them to the jury only if their probative value in helping the jury evaluate the opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial effect.

Rule 704. Opinion on Ultimate Issue

- (a) **In General — Not Automatically Objectionable.** An opinion is not objectionable just because it embraces an ultimate issue.
- (b) **Exception.** In a criminal case, an expert witness must not state an opinion about whether the defendant did or did not have a mental state or condition that constitutes an element of the crime charged or of a defense. Those matters are for the trier of fact alone.

Rule 705. Disclosing the Facts or Data Underlying An Expert's Opinion

Unless the court orders otherwise, an expert may state an opinion — and give the reasons for it — without first testifying to the underlying facts or data. But the expert may be required to disclose those facts or data on cross-examination.

---

**Article VIII. – Hearsay**

Rule 801. Definitions

The following definitions apply under this article:

- (a) **Statement.** “Statement” means a person’s oral assertion, written assertion, or nonverbal conduct, if the person intended it as an assertion.
- (b) **Declarant.** “Declarant” means the person who made the statement.
- (c) **Hearsay.** “Hearsay” means a statement that:
  - (1) the declarant does not make while testifying at the current trial or hearing; and
  - (2) a par0.005 Twso9 (tr)116 (n)2 (g) an2 0 Td( Tc 0 Tw2.6 (t)-1 )10.93 (s74.3 .6 s)-2.3 (a27i()-4 (2))TJ/37



(d) all these co  
witness; an  
( Tw Td( )Tj2c-13 (



declarant to criminal liability.

- (4) **Statement of Personal or Family History.** A statement about:
  - (A) the declarant's own birth, adoption, legitimacy, ancestry, marriage, divorce, relationship by blood, adoption, or marriage, or similar facts of personal or family history, even though the declarant had no way of acquiring personal knowledge about that fact; or
  - (B) another person concerning any of these facts, as well as death, if the declarant was related to the person by blood, adoption, or marriage or was so intimately associated with the person's family that the declarant's information is likely to be accurate.
- (5) **Not Applicable**
- (6) **Statement Offered Against a Party That Wrongfully Caused the Declarant's Unavailability.** A statement offered against a party that wrongfully caused — or acquiesced in wrongfully causing — the declarant's unavailability as a witness, and did so intending that result.

**Rule 805. Hearsay within Hearsay**

Hearsay included within hearsay is not excluded by the rule against hearsay if each part of the combined statements conforms with an exception to the rule.

**Rule 806. Attacking and Supporting the Declarant's Credibility**

When a hearsay statement — or a statement described in Rule 801(d)(2)(C), (D), or (E) — has been admitted in evidence, the declarant's credibility may be attacked, and then supported, by any evidence that would be admissible for those purposes if the declarant had testified as a witness. The court may admit evidence of the declarant's inconsistent statement or conduct, regardless of when it occurred or whether the declarant had an opportunity to explain or deny it. If the party against whom the statement was admitted calls the declarant as a witness, the party may examine the declarant on the statement as if on cross-examination.

**Rule 807. Residual Exception**

Under the following conditions, a hearsay statement is not excluded by the rule against hearsay even if the statement is not admissible under a hearsay exception in Rule 803 or 804:

- (1) the statement is supported by sufficient guarantees of trustworthiness—after considering the totality of circumstances under which it was made and evidence, if any, corrob (a)9.2 (s)-2.3 (e p)12.9, 1Sy etJ0 Tw -e(he

